

EXHIBIT Y

**QUOTES FROM JUDGE STEFAN UNDERHILL AT THE
MAY 9, 2019 HEARING FOR MOTION TO DISMISS THAT
CONTRADICT HIS JAN. 2020 SUMMARY JUDGMENT
DECISION – there was no new evidence submitted by Altice
after this hearing that was relative but I certainly submitted
even more evidence – videos, dispatch tapes, etc..
The Arrest Warrant was only evidence they could work with.**

PAGE 16

11 THE COURT: Well, the difficulty I have with the
12 argument is that in a number of these reports they say:
13 "A Westport man is facing charges tonight for allegedly
14 stalking."
15 So the fair implication there is that the police
16 are charging him, or intend to charge him, or have
17 arrested him for stalking.

ENGLISH: FAIR – adj. : marked by impartiality and honesty : free from self-interest, prejudice,
or favoritism a very *fair* person to do business with
b(1): conforming with the established rules : ALLOWED
(2) consonant with merit or importance : DUE
adv : in a manner that is honest or impartial or that conforms to rules : in a fair manner

PAGE 17 "SO IT IS NOT AT ALL APPARENT THAT THE **BREACH OF PEACE IS**
WHAT HE IS FACING.

8 THE COURT: Right, okay. But I've got to view
9 this in the light most favorable to the plaintiff, and
10 when I do that, what I see is the opening is he is facing
11 charges tonight for allegedly stalking.

12 And when we get to breach of peace, it implies
13 that it was a different charge. "Police charged him with
14 breach of peace for an incident back in November." So
15 that's more of a history, especially it follows where it
16 says Lawrence has a history of doing this, and then the
17 report indicates police charged him with breach of the
18 peace.

19 So it's not at all apparent that the breach of
20 peace is what he's currently facing.

HENCE THEY DID NOT "*dull the impact*" BUT INSTEAD HYPED THE IMPACT.

PAGE 18

19 THE COURT: "Charged" would be problematic as
 20 well.
 21 MS. BOLGER: Well, he was charged.
 22 THE COURT: He wasn't charged with stalking.

PAGE 19 – NOT A FAIR REPORT SO WHY ABSOLVE THIS SPECIAL HIT JOB BY THE REPORTER WHO KNEW ALL OTHER ALLEGED INCIDENTS WERE CASES CLOSED AND THAT I HAD NO CRIMINAL HISTORY IN CT?

4 THE COURT: That's the factual basis, and the
 5 charge was breach of peace. So if you had said: A
 6 Westport man allegedly followed a woman around the store,
 7 etc., etc., police are charging him with breach of peace,
 8 that would be a fair report.

HERE JUDGE UNDERHILL CONTRADICTS HIS CONCLUDING WORDS IN SUMMARY JUDGMENT:

"the segment, a reasonable reader would not have been affected differently had the graphics not appeared, or, instead, read "BREACH OF PEACE ARREST." ECF 66 Page 19-20

"The headlines are not defamatory because the average person reading the Articles would not have been affected differently if the headlines read, for instance, "Police: Westport man charged with breach of peace for following woman. Thus, the headlines are substantially true." ECF 66 PAGE 20

"in context, the actual truth would have no different effect on a reasonable reader" ECF 66 Page 17

PAGE 20

KATE BOLGER/ALTICE:

QUOTE DESCRIBING REPORTS OF "**STALKING SEVERAL WOMEN**" AS "A VERY SHORT SOUND BITE".

17 | are described as stalking. Remember, this is a very short
18 | sound bite on the evening news. And, of course, the
19 | evening news is using this document to inform this
20 | community about what's happening.

HOW ARE SHORT SOUND BITES WITH THE MOST BITING LANGUAGE AS POSSIBLE - **STALKING AND PREYING** - NOT DEFAMATORY WHEN THE "**IMPACT**" BITES WERE CAUSING HAVOC REPLICATING AROUND THE COMMUNITY AND THE REPORTS ARE NEVER EFFECTIVELY "**DULLING**" THIS BITE OR IMPACT AT ALL. SHORT SOUND BITES CAN CAUSE A LOT OF DAMAGE.

PAGE 21

KATE BOLGER/ALTICE QUOTE DESCRIBING NEWS 12 COHORT WENDY HIGGINS CHAMBERS DEPLOYED IN SLANDER AND LIBEL AS:

“BUT SHE IS DESCRIBING ACTIVITY THAT’S EXACTLY THE SAME AS THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE ARREST WARRANT”

FALSE!!

WENDY HIGGINS CHAMBERS ACCUSED ME OF PREYING. BOTH STALKING AND PREYING IS EXTREME AND OUTRIGHT FALSE AND DEFAMATORY!

ibox (26) - sancorgomajn@gmail.com - Gmail

2 And then the bit about Ms. Chambers, I'm not
 3 totally sure I quite understand. Ms. Chambers isn't named
 4 in the broadcast. In fact, I didn't know her name was
 5 Ms. Chambers until I read the plaintiff's report, and I
 6 don't know if that is her. So I'm not totally sure that I
 7 understand wholly the Ms. Chambers argument, but she's
 8 describing activity that's exactly the same as the
 9 information contained in the arrest warrant. And the
 10 cases we cited in our brief, including *Fishof* and
 11 *Gonzalez*, talk about the fact that that activity is also
 12 privileged.

Here is the text from Wendy Higgins Chambers with News 12:

“a local woman who did not want to be identified told News 12 today that a man followed her to her car months ago at a Whole Foods (wrong market) parking lot and asked her out”. JESUS really. This is news?!! “This is a guy you know walking around the grocery stores (it is called shopping) preying on women and it is really frightening to wonder what could possibly happen” (meaning nothing happened hence her no police action from her complaint yet she can deploy the media for her personal persecution), and then –“This is something I (her and only her) just wanted people to know about because it (what is it) is not just happening it (what is it) is happening a lot” (only police incidents in Westport and only Westport and a false number despite living around the country and world with no incidents at all).

English: Prey

noun

- 1: an animal hunted or seized for food, especially by a carnivorous animal.**
- 2: a person or thing that is the victim of an enemy, a swindler, a disease, etc.; gull.**
- 3: the action or habit of preying: a beast of prey.**
- 4: Archaic. booty or plunder.**
- verb (used without object)*
- 5: to seize and devour prey, as an animal does (usually followed by *on* or *upon*)**
- 6: to make raids or attacks for booty or plunder**
- 7: to exert a harmful or destructive influence:**
- 8: to victimize another or others (usually followed by *on* or *upon*)**

WORDS RELATED TO PREY

underdog, spoil, quarry, mug, mark, dupe, game, quest, kill, victim, pillage, loot, martyr, casualty, sufferer, raven

WHERE ARE THE REAL HARMFUL AND DESTRUCTIVE INFLUENCES?

FACT: SHE DEPLOYED THE **PREYING ON WOMEN** LANGUAGE VIA NEWS 12.

PREY

6: to make raids or attacks for booty or plunder

7: to exert a harmful or destructive influence;

8: to victimize another or others (usually followed by on or upon)

I AM THE REAL VICTIM HERE, THE ONLY ONE PREYED ON WAS I JAMES LAWRENE BY THIS NEWS 12 AND THIS SELF APPOINTED FAUX METOO MARTYR WITHOUT A JUSTIFIABLE CAUSE.

PREYING ACCORDING TO THE DICTIONARY IS EVEN WORSE THAN **STALKING**, WHICH ITSELF IS ALREADY CLEARLY ESTABLISHED AS WORSE THAN WHAT I WAS ACTUALLY ARRESTED FOR. IF YOU TAKE THE NEWS 12 REPORT WITH THEIR LANGUAGE OF

“STALKING SEVERAL WOMEN” + “PREYING ON WOMEN” = TOGETHER A REPORT THAT IS EASILY TILTING FAR BEYOND ANY SIMPLE NONSENSICAL DICTIONARY DEFINITION OF A STEALTH STALK – AND FAR BEYOND A LEGAL, CULTURAL, MULTICULTURAL AND STILL YES DICTIONARY DEFINITION REPORT ACCUSING ME OF REPEATED AND PERSISTENT CONTACTS TOWARD A PARTICULAR WOMAN THAT WAS ATTACKING, HARMFUL, DESTRUCTIVE.

NEVER THE CASE, UNPROVEN, UN-PROVABLE, AND UTTER DEFAMATION!

PAGE 23 – “NOT FAIR.”

7	THE COURT: Yes, I understand that. And you and
8	I seem to disagree about whether it's a fair report to say
9	that he is facing charges for stalking. I mean, that's
10	really what it comes down to.

YES NOT FAIR THUS CLEARLY A DIFFERENT TYPE OF COVERAGE. HERE JUDGE UNDERHILL CONTRADICTS HIS CONCLUDING WORDS IN SUMMARY JUDGMENT:

“in context, the actual truth would have no different effect on a reasonable reader” ECF 66

Page 17

PAGE 23 – UNDERHILL: “STALKING HAS A VERY PEJORATIVE CONNOTATION”

ENGLISH: PEJORATIVE – [late 19th century: from French *péjoratif*, -ive, from late Latin *pejorare* ‘make worse’, from Latin *pejor* ‘worse’.]

16	The difficulty I'm having is stalking has a very
17	pejorative connotation, and he wasn't charged with
18	stalking. He was charged with breach of the peace, which
19	sounds like he was yelling at a policeman.

HERE AT MAY 9, 2019 HEARING JUDGE UNDERHILL ONCE AGAIN CONTRADICTS HIS CONCLUDING WORDS IN JANUARY 2020 SUMMARY JUDGMENT:

“the segment, a reasonable reader would not have been affected differently had the graphics not appeared, or, instead, read “BREACH OF PEACE ARREST.” ECF 66 Page 19-20

“The headlines are not defamatory because the average person reading the Articles would not have been affected differently if the headlines read, for instance, “Police: Westport man charged with breach of peace for following woman. Thus, the headlines are substantially true.” ECF 66 PAGE 20

“in context, the actual truth would have no different effect on a reasonable reader” ECF 66 Page 17

PAGE 24

1	THE COURT: But it doesn't say: "A Westport man
2	is facing charges in California years ago for stalking
3	women around town."

YES THE ONLY TIME I FACED A **STALKING CHARGE WAS 25 YEARS AGO IN CALIFORNIA IN 1995 RESULTING IN NO CONVICTION. OBVIOUSLY A DIFFERENT TYPE OF CHARGE AND DIFFERENT CONNOTATIONS.**

PAGE 24

10	THE COURT: You can't say that because he faced
11	charges allegedly in the arrest warrant in California
12	years ago that he is now facing charges for stalking.

YES THE ONLY TIME I FACED A **STALKING CHARGE WAS 25 YEARS AGO IN**

CALIFORNIA IN 1995 RESULTING IN NO CONVICTION. OBVIOUSLY A DIFFERENT TYPE OF CHARGE AND DIFFERENT CONNOTATIONS. HERE AT MAY 9, 2019 HEARING JUDGE UNDERHILL ONCE AGAIN CONTRADICTS HIS CONCLUDING WORDS IN JANUARY 2020 SUMMARY JUDGMENT:

“in context, the actual truth would have no different effect on a reasonable reader” ECF 66
Page 17

PAGE 25

4 THE COURT: I understand. The term "allegedly
5 stalking, facing charges tonight for alleging stalking,"
6 the police never intended, apparently, to charge him with
7 stalking the women.

YES MARCH 5, 2018 I WAS NOT CHARGED WITH **STALKING A WOMAN LET ALONE SEVERAL WOMEN.**

PAGE 25 – JUDGE UNDERHILL RECOGNIZES THE EXTREME REPORT AND NEED TO HAVE USED DIFFERENT WORDING IN TUNE WITH ARREST WARRANT.

11 THE COURT: Had you said: "A Westport man is
12 facing charges tonight for following several women around
13 town," that would be great.

THAT IS A LESSER WRONG BUT STILL THIS TOO WOULD BE SLANDER/LIBEL – (hardly “great”). THIS SHOWS THE JUDGE’S CLEAR VIEW THAT THERE IS A NEEDED TONING DOWN OF THE HYPE (hardly “dulling the impact”) AND HIS RECOGNITION OF THE CLEAR AND OBVIOUS DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A REPORT OF 1- AN ALLEGED ONE TIME FOLLOWING AND 2 - **ARRESTED FOR STALKING SEVERAL WOMEN.**

HERE AT MAY 9, 2019 HEARING JUDGE UNDERHILL ONCE AGAIN CONTRADICTS HIS CONCLUDING WORDS IN JANUARY 2020 SUMMARY JUDGMENT:

“in context, the actual truth would have no different effect on a reasonable reader” ECF 66
Page 17

PAGE 25

14 MS. BOLGER: But, Your Honor, if you read the
15 whole article -- I'm sorry, if you read the whole
16 report --

17 THE COURT: I've read it.

18 MS. BOLGER: -- watch the whole report, that is
19 what it says.

20 THE COURT: No, it's not.

PAGE 31 – “SO HOW DO WE KNOW, AS A MATTER OF LAW, THAT THE ARREST WARRANT IS USING “FOLLOWING THE WOMEN”, WHICH IS NEVER REFERRED TO AS A VIOLATION OF ANY KIND ...”

4 THE COURT: Well, it's unclear from the report
5 and the arrest warrant. The arrest warrant application,
6 paragraph 10, describes how Mr. Lawrence was yelling and
7 swearing. That is breach of the peace conduct. So it's
8 not clear that he was even arrested for following anybody
9 around town.

10 MR. LAWRENCE: "Stalking" has connotations of
11 repeated behavior.

12 THE COURT: Okay, I understand. I understand,
13 Mr. Lawrence.

14 So how do we know, as a matter of law, that the
15 arrest warrant is using "following the women," which is
16 never referred to as a violation of any kind, how do we
17 know that that's not Number 10, yelling at the cops?

PAGE 33 - "THEY DID NOT GET IT RIGHT".

13 THE COURT: Okay. But they didn't get it right.

14 MS. BOLGER: But they did, Your Honor, if you
15 don't read stalking like it's a legal charge. If you read
16 it like someone is describing the behavior, to me
17 following women around a supermarket is stalking them.

18 THE COURT: Okay, but here's the point. You're
19 asking me to assume, to look at the evidence -- to look at
20 the allegations in the light most favorable to you, the
21 defendant, when the report is fully consistent with his
22 breach of peace charge being, one, in paragraph 7, he
23 became very agitated, and two, in paragraph 10, he's
24 yelling and swearing.

DEFINITION OF WRONG

1a : an injurious, unfair, or unjust act : action or conduct
inflicting harm without due provocation or just cause

b : a violation or invasion of the legal rights of another
especially : TORT

2 : something wrong, immoral, or unethical
especially : principles, practices, or conduct contrary to
justice, goodness, equity, or law

3 : the state, position, or fact of being or doing wrong: such as

a : the state of being mistaken or incorrect

b : the state of being guilty

10 I am going to grant, in substantial part, the
 11 motion to dismiss. I am going to deny the motion to
 12 dismiss with respect to the claims that Mr. Lawrence was
 13 facing charges for allegedly stalking several women around
 14 town.

15 In my view, that is not, as a matter of law, a
 16 fair report of the arrest warrant. A fair report of the
 17 arrest warrant would have said that police claim he
 18 followed several women around town, or through grocery
 19 stores, or whatever, and was charged with breach of the
 20 peace. That's not what it says.

HERE IN MAY 9, 2019 JUDGE UNDERHILL ONCE AGAIN CONTRADICTS HIS CONCLUDING WORDS IN JANUARY 2020 SUMMARY JUDGMENT:

"The headlines are not defamatory because the average person reading the Articles would not have been affected differently if the headlines read, for instance, "Police: Westport man charged with breach of peace for following woman. Thus, the headlines are substantially true." ECF 66 PAGE 20