

## **Motion to Submit Further Exhibit for Judge to Consider**

After reviewing the March 23, 2018 Westport News Article submitted in my First Response via Exhibit G, which is a document about Wendy Higgins Chambers' second approach to the media (a persistence after police investigation), I realized I should also be submitting essential evidence of slander that I submitted in my case with the Westport News themselves. I have up to now focussed my Complaint on the actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers and the words she herself is quoted within the media. Her actions at issue being ascertaining and or moving around particular contents of the Arrest Warrant against the Official Police Press Release (First Response Exhibit C), and against police redaction policies (according to the police themselves as shown in First Response Exhibit D), and persistently approaching multiple media outlets all the while **knowing** her actions already caused Damages with News 12 who ceased and removed all their coverage of me. This hell-bent persistence after her March 14-15, 2018 News 12 instigated fiasco and then after her March 15, 2018 call/visit to police resulting in no police action, is evident in the March 23, 2018 Westport News article to which I believe needs to be addressed even further than I have originally presented.

In past documents while addressing this Westport News article, I emphasized the facts of Wendy Higgins Chambers **knowing** of the many flawed aspects of the Arrest Warrant from my lawyer and News 12 themselves but still persisting in her unproven and un-provable interpretations. In past documents submitted, I stick to her actual words and quotes and refrain from the showing arguments I have submitted in my other cases like against the Westport News/Hearst Communications. After reviewing the Westport News article again, I find that the court needs to see some more arguments because not only is Wendy Higgins Chambers the cause of this insane article, but she is featured in the opening salvo of the article and only person pushing what the article's headlines and contents falsely state *"man harassed" or "man harassed women for years"*. I believe the court needs to see how Wendy Higgins Chambers is part of this formulation of this *"harass"* language much like she was part of the *"stalk"* language in her News 12 persecution where she is deploying the words *"prey"* – all unproven and un-provable accusations (long gone "complaints" never re-opened with statute of limitations in effect).

FACTS: **Stalk** and **harass** are Course of Conduct behaviors toward someone – 2 or more unwanted acts while being forewarned. **None of anything in my past has anything to do with this Course of Conduct behavior hence my clean Ct. record** especially in relation to the Complaints - never arrested before the March 5, 2018 one count of Second Degree Breach of Peace arrest that started this Wendy Higgins Chambers and only Wendy Higgins Chambers induced and persisted persecution. My one time crossing of paths with Wendy Higgins Chambers has nothing to do with **stalk** and **harass**.

I want to submit an Exhibit about the language of *"stalk"* and *"harass"* to the court for review. This Exhibit I wish to submit would be in conjunction with the other wording and actions of Wendy Higgins Chambers. It is easily arguable that she herself is deploying the **stalking** and then 9 days later **harassing** language that was used in the 2 media reports of me – both caused by her and she should also like the media be held accountable to these words.

**Here is proof:**

## Police: Westport man harassed women for years

By Sophie Vaughan Published 12:00 am EDT, Friday, March 23, 2018

WESTPORT – Local women haunted for years by a man they say would aggressively approach them at local stores and cafes are speaking out after his arrest earlier this month.

Westporter Wendy Chambers said after reading reports of the arrest of James Lawrence in the media last week, she wanted to come forward with her story.

As you can read this article is libel for many reasons that I am arguing now against the Westport News/Hearst Communications. For one - there are no women “speaking out” – only Wendy Higgins Chambers is “speaking out”. Now let me get to the issue at hand.

The article is deploying the **“harass”** language. **Wendy Higgins Chambers is the only cause and is the only person part of this article and only person quoted.** No other women are part of this nonsense. She is mentioned in the opening salvo and clearly tied to the theme of the article – **“harassment”**. How is the reporter deploying this hideous **“harass”** language devoid of a harassment arrest let alone prior arrest at all? Is not Wendy Higgins Chambers partly responsible for the deployment of this **“harass”** language (much like the **stalking** language) and should the court not take up evidence of the slanderous connotations of this deployed language. **Keep in mind the police themselves never deploy this stalk or harass language in the Arrest Warrant that Wendy Higgins Chambers is reading and moving around towns.**

I believe the court needs to see evidence around this **“harass”** language. I also believe the court should be entertaining evidence around the **“stalk”** language as well for Wendy Higgins Chambers was **also the only cause and only woman part of News 12’s outright false coverage and only woman quoted.** **My proposed Exhibit would focus on the legal, dictionary, and multi-cultural definitions of these “stalking” and then “harassing” words for Wendy Higgins Chambers is most certainly in agreement with the articles’ and broadcasts’ interpretations being the main/only cause and only complainant of both media unproven and un-provable hit jobs.** I would be submitting these proposed Exhibits in the future but feel in all fairness should be reviewed by the court and be a part of a **Newly Submitted Exhibit/s**, and if not then an **Amended Complaint**. The deadlines for an Amended Complaint would not be violated.

Logic would dictate that if she is not in agreement with these deployed **“stalk”** and **“harass”** language that there be some kind of document submitted verifying this for her Affidavit clearly does not delve into this issue nor did she ever make any attempt to have the articles and broadcasts retracted. She clearly agrees with this language and should be held accountable.

Thank you  
James Lawrence  
Sept. 11, 2020