

EXHIBIT G

**DISPATCH TAPES OF THE MARCH 5, 2018 ARREST
FOR ONE COUNT OF
SECOND DEGREE BREACH OF PEACE.**

**THE INCIDENT IN THE COMPLAINANT'S OWN
WORDS - *"LINGER ALMOST"* .**

Note: Copies of these Dispatch Tapes submitted on disks.

Dispatch Tapes of the Arresting Incident for the March 5, 2018 arrest of One Count of Second Degree Breach of Peace

The following is Evidence of not only there being no *“harassing”/“harassing women for years”* let alone *“stalking”/“stalking several women”* TO WHICH THE ARREST WARRANT ALREADY SHOWS and to which I was never charged with (but slanderously reported on by News 12 and then the Westport News), but also further Evidence of my innocence of the arresting charge of one count of Second Degree Breach of Peace. What I wish to share are Dispatch Audio Tapes that will show how the Complainant immediately described the incident - like her words, tone and deportment. YES - What I wish to share are Dispatch Audio Tapes (outrageously the only tapes available in the Second Degree Breach of Peace case) of the Nov. 5, 2017 incident, Dispatch Audio Tapes that were never handed over as Discovery by Officer James Sullivan and never shared with my lawyer, and never mentioned in either the Police Reports or Arrest Warrant. Apparently according to my lawyer, this is a huge omission by Officer James Sullivan and not in accordance with proper procedure and proper protocol of police report/warrant documentation.

FACT – there was/are no tapes of any kind nor any Discovery turned over to the prosecutor. NOTHING!!! NO Sworn Written Statement, NO witness, NO tapes with body cams despite multiple officers on the scene, NO Dispatch Tapes to which I wish to share now via my recent FOIA request, and NO tapes of the booking despite it is common practice to record all booking (I was able to call out an officer in a lie and was very vocal in my defence at booking yet - NO booking tapes available either). Yes I researched all surrounding police departments and now have Westport Officers on tape admitting common procedure is they always tape the booking. **Once again – the only tapes are the Dispatch Audio Tapes to which I just received on Oct. 17, 2019 via a FOIA request Sept. 6, 2019 and I wish to share immediately.**

Yes – I decided (after the past year of learning the system) to make a Sept. 6, 2019 FOIA request (22 months after the Nov. 5, 2017 incident and 18 months after March 5, 2018 arrest) for any tapes in relation to this outrageous March 5, 2018 false arrest for alleged Second Degree Breach to which I was not guilty of. after Due Process. What I got was a notice from the Westport Police saying “Only Dispatch Tapes”. So on Oct. 19, 2019 (all documented), I received a disk of these Dispatch Audio Tapes – Dispatch Audio Tapes that show so much needed perspective, for the **Complainant (who has never made a Sworn Written Statement nor come forward as a witness) is recorded in these audio tapes describing the arresting incident and reported upon arrest and these audio tapes show much of what I have attempted to share with Your Honor from my point of view. I ask that the court listen to these tapes/evidence to further show I was not any threat whatsoever let alone doing anything whatsoever that Altice USA/News 12 “outright falsely” reporting on me claimed like *“stalking” or “stalking several women”* and then the Hearst/Westport News maliciously persisting with their libel *“harassing”/ “harassed women for years”*.**

THE FOLLOWING ARE TRANSCRIPTS FROM DISPATCH AUDIO TAPES TO BE DELIVERED WHEN THE COURT RE-OPENS FOR A FUTURE HEARING.

DISPATCH AUDIO TAPES - to be delivered when court reopens.

The Woman – we shall refer to her as “LD” for she NEVER came forward in over 2 years as a witness.

All tapes move fast and all together can be heard in less than 25 minutes.

EMPHASIS/SIGNIFICANT TAPES – TAPE 1, TAPE 6, TAPE 7, TAPE 11, TAPE 14.
Most are merely just a few words and empty air.

THE FOLLOWING ARE BASIC INTERPRETATIONS.

Tape 1 – CALL. Husband made the call.

(Did she know he was calling the police?).

Husband: *“Some guy tried to get in the car with her”..*

INITIALLY EMOTIONALLY MISREPRESENTS THE INCIDENT FROM THE START.
DETAILS ARE QUICKLY CLEARED UP IN MINUTES THAT THERE WAS NO ATTEMPT
“TO GET IN THE CAR”.

Husband: *“She is on the way home now.”*

LD Woman then gets on the phone – they live 3 minutes from the market.

NO DISTRESS WITH THIS WOMAN WHATSOEVER!!!

They live 3 minutes from the market on Main Street.

LD Woman: *“... He was sort of just lingering in the parking lot I did not know if he was going to get in the vehicle .. “Motioned for me to open the door (window).”*

Dispatcher – *“Did he speak to you? Did he say anything?”*

(Dispatcher with a tone insinuating like perhaps there is unnecessary drama.)

LD Woman: *“He did not speak to me but I noticed his behavior was just odd because he was just kinda like walking around the grocery store (shopping) .. he was in the store and he actually checked out before me (bought my items) .. which is like ...and like there was a couple of times in the store where I felt like a LITTLE uncomfortable where he was like lingering ALMOST...“IDONT KNOW” .. I was just ...”*

How is it I was “following” when I checked out before her.

QUOTES:

“a couple of times I felt a little uncomfortable”

“sort of just lingering”,

“lingering ALMOST .. I don’t know ..”

English: Linger -

1: to be slow in parting or in quitting something : TARRY

English: Tarry –

1a: to delay or be tardy in acting or doing

1b: to linger in expectation : WAIT

English: Linger -

1: to remain or stay on in a place longer than is usual or expected, as if from reluctance to leave:

2: to remain alive; continue or persist, although gradually dying, ceasing, disappearing, etc.:

3: to dwell in contemplation, thought, or enjoyment:

4: to be tardy in action; delay; dawdle:

5: to walk slowly; saunter along.

verb (used with object)

6: to pass (time, life, etc.) in a leisurely or a tedious manner (usually followed by *away* or *out*):

LD Woman: “lingering ALMOST”

English: Almost – not quite; very nearly

Dictionary.com Thesaurus.com

 SYNONYMS ▾ | linger

linger

 [ling-ger]  [SEE DEFINITION OF *linger*](#)

verb loiter, delay *verb continue, endure*

Synonyms for *linger*

dawdle	dillydally	poke	tool	fool around
drift	falter	procrastinate	totter	fritter away
idle	hesitate	putter	trail	goof off
remain	hobble	saunter	traipse	hang around
stay	lag	shuffle	trifle	hang out
stick around	loll	slouch	trudge	put off
wait	lumber	stagger	vacillate	sit around
amble	mope	stop	be dilatory	take one's time
crawl	mosey	stroll	be long	wait around
dally	plod	tarry	be tardy	

HARASS or even Follow or Hound and certainly not Stalk

IS NOT INCLUDED in the definitions nor synonyms of *linger*.

For heavens sake according to this woman I was not even fully lingering!!!!
Where is the hell is anything like hound, "**harass**", or "**stalk**" ???!!!
LAST TIME I CHECKED – "**LINGERING ALMOST**" IS NOT A CRIME.

I WAS PARKED NEXT TO HER IN THE FRONT ROW. I FOUND MONEY ON MY WAY OUT. I NEVER TALKED TO HER IN STORE. I HAD NO DESIRE TO TALK TO HER IN STORE. I HAD PLENTY OF OPPORTUNITY APPARENTLY. I TALK TO NUMEROUS PEOPLE IN STORES. I HARMLESSLY WENT UP TO HER TO ASK A QUESTION. THAT IS ALL. THIS VERY BRIEF TIME WAS THE ONLY TIME I EVER SAW THIS WOMAN.

Tape 2 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 3 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 4 – **Dispatcher:** "*she (officer) is on the phone with her now getting the information*"

Tape 5 – **Dispatcher:** "*she is home*"
(I am not near her and still around the store.)

Tape 6 – **Dispatcher:** "*follow her out to her car*" ...
"*did not try to get in the car just tried to get her to roll the window down*" ...
"*he seemed to be odd*".

Tape 7 – **LD WOMAN:** "*I mean it is hard to say... I don't know if his behavior was harmless or ..*"

She was then cut off before finishing sentence about describing my harmlessness and probable cause I was harmless ergo **my intent** easily showed to be peaceful.

(See definition of Second Degree Breach of Peace below)

YES MY BEHAVIOR WAS NOT HARMFUL IT WAS HARMLESS.

LD WOMAN: "*My name is Lara ..*"

In a tone that shows NO DISTRESS BY WOMAN AT ALL and almost in like a coy tone – hardly scared or distressed – almost partially enamored with the attention. Weird.

Disturbing how she took on a sexy pretty girl voice.

BUT ONCE AGAIN; MY BEHAVIOR WAS NOT HARMFUL IT WAS HARMLESS.

Tape 8 – establishes she drove home from the store.

Tape 9 – 20 minutes ago from call.

Tape 10 – establishes that her car on west side 3-4 spaces from the store – front row- with my car parked next to hers on the same row in front of the store.

Tape 11 - Dispatcher: *“confirm nothing was said to her. Thought it was odd facing her on drivers side before she drove off.”*

Tape 12 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 13 – Tony the manager of the market calls police after police visited him minutes before , where he says on the call in regards to how police described incident - *“incident where a woman says a gentleman wanted her to roll window down.”* Tony informs Police I am there. This call is no more than 25 minutes after alleged incident.

Tape 14 – Dispatcher: *“Gentleman is back at the store would like to explain himself to an officer.”*

Once again – a peaceful attempt to clear up paranoia and nonsense.

Tape 15 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 16 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 17 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 18 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

Tape 19 - ... nothing ... mostly empty air

SECOND DEGREE BREACH OF PEACE

a) A person is guilty of breach of the peace in the second degree when, **with intent** to cause inconvenience, annoyance or alarm, or recklessly creating a risk thereof, such person:

- (1) Engages in fighting or in violent, tumultuous or threatening behavior in a public place; or
- (2) assaults or strikes another; or
- (3) threatens to commit any crime against another person or such other person’s property; or (
- 4) publicly exhibits, distributes, posts up or advertises any offensive, indecent or abusive matter concerning any person; or
- (5) in a public place, uses abusive or obscene language or makes an obscene gesture; or

(6) creates a public and hazardous or physically offensive condition

English: Hazardous - ? :

1: involving or exposing one to risk (as of loss or harm)

English: Risk -

1: possibility of loss or injury

2: someone or something that creates or suggests a hazard

NO RISKS INVOLVED OR EXPOSED –

I only attempted to question – talking – everyday occurrence.

She lost nothing and had no harm done to her.

(BUT- SEE MY LOSSES AND HARMS DONE TO ME – List of Damages)

Physically offensive - ?

NO CONTACT. “in personal space” in a public parking lot!!!???”

“I mean it is hard to say... I don't know if his behavior was harmless or ..”

NOT OFFENSIVE as shown in her description

"sort of just lingering"

"almost lingering.. I don't know" ..

HOW CAN ANYONE BE HARASSED WHEN THEY HARDLY KNOW OF HOW TO DESCRIBE AN INCIDENT.

FACT: THIS WOMAN NEVER SAYS HARASS!!!! LIKE THE POLICE NEVER SAYING HARASS!!!

FACT: NO WORDS. – AS YOU WILL HEAR, I CORRECTLY WROTE THE INCIDENT WAS VERY SHORT IN TIME – NOT EVEN A MINUTE.

Did her tone seem like she was ever at risk of anything?

NO - of course not.

The entire arresting incident was blown way way way out of proportion by Mark Sudol and News 12.

DID I DESERVE TO HAVE MY LIFE DESTROYED OVER THIS????!!!!

AND ONCE AGAIN – NO "harassing" or "harassed women for years" AS THE ARREST WARRANT NEVER SAID I WAS DOING OR EVER HAD BEEN DOING. Hardly worth the hit job doxxing me of unproven and never can be proved nonsense from distant past incident reports.

'If this lone arresting incident's contents are anything indicative of any allegedly similar type past incident at a market or cafe (as documented by arresting Officer Sullivan) that never resulted in any arrest, then I must say it is obvious I never "harassed women" in my past. Logic would dictate that any other "incident" long ago (involving no arrest) would be even more harmless with no bad intent since there was no arrest.

Once again, this incident described by Complainant via these Dispatch Audio Tapes resulted in an arrest for Second Degree Breach of Peace to which News 12 maliciously interpreted in a special story 2 weeks after the arrest showing no proper research and affording me no Presumption of Innocence and proper Due Process of Law.

They had plenty of time to get to know basic facts to interpret the Arrest Warrant in a proper way but for some strange reasons went too far in their reporting, reasons that are still yet to be fully known and thus this case James Lawrence v. Hearst demands further legal processes to ascertain more details.